

# The Geodynamic World Builder: A planetary structure creator for the geosciences

**Menno R. T. Fraters** <sup>● 1</sup>, Magali I. Billen <sup>● 2</sup>, Rene Gassmöller <sup>● 3,4</sup>, Arushi **Saxena** 2,5**, Timo Heister** <sup>5</sup> **, HaoyuanLi** <sup>2</sup> **, Daniel Douglas** <sup>6</sup> **, Juliane** Dannberg <sup>® 3,4</sup>, Wolfgang Bangerth <sup>® 7</sup>, and Yijun Wang <sup>® 8</sup>

**1** GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany **2** UC Davis, USA **3** GEOMAR Helmholtz-Zentrum für Ozeanforschung, Kiel, Germany **4** Universiy of Florida, USA **5** Clemson University, USA **6** New Mexico Tech, USA **7** Colorado State University, USA **8** University of Oslo, Norway

#### **DOI:** [10.21105/joss.06671](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.06671)

#### **Software**

- [Review](https://github.com/openjournals/joss-reviews/issues/6671) &
- [Repository](https://github.com/GeodynamicWorldBuilder/WorldBuilder/) C
- [Archive](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13352667)

#### **Editor:** [Hauke Schulz](https://observingclouds.github.io/) **Reviewers:**

- [@busstoptaktik](https://github.com/busstoptaktik)
- [@cpgr](https://github.com/cpgr)

**Submitted:** 11 March 2024 **Published:** 04 September 2024

#### **License**

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License [\(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### **Summary**

Many Earth science applications require the discretization, parameterization, and/or visualization of complex geological features in a 3D geometry in global or regional settings. A prime example are geodynamic models that have to make assumptions about the Earth's thermal and chemical structure and the geometry of different features such as plates, subducted slabs, or mantle plumes. This structure is needed in instantaneous models, as model initial conditions, or to test different hypotheses and compare model predictions to observations. Other examples are the creation of an Earth velocity structure for seismic forward modeling and hypothesis-testing, or the visualization of tectonic features in structural geology.

The Geodynamic World Builder (GWB) has been designed to make the creation of complex parameterized models significantly easier. It can also be used to design simple synthetic models and it supports the use of several types of datasets to set up models. Besides setting up initial conditions for geodynamic models, the GWB can also visualize complex 3D geologic, tectonic, and geodynamic settings.

# **Statement of need**

Today's computational resources, infrastructure, and numerical methods allow for the creation of complex numerical models that closely resemble specific locations on the Earth, using 3D geometries and high resolutions. However, the related increase in complexity has also made setting up these more detailed regional or global models exceedingly difficult, especially in three dimensions. Furthermore, investigating the model dynamics often requires testing different scenarios involving variations in model geometry, thermal, or chemical structure, or other model assumptions. Although studies with such complex models have been published, the practical realization of these model setups often have one or many of the following disadvantages:

- 1. The configuration is not human-readable.
- 2. The software is not easily modifiable and extendable.
- 3. The model setup is not portable to other computing systems or reproducible in other software frameworks.
- 4. The model setup is not shareable with other users.

These issues lead to a number of problems with the reproducibility and reliability of modeling studies, which threaten to undermine the predictive power and usefulness of modeling results, and highlight the need for an easy, efficient, and robust way to describe model setups. The GWB has been designed to address these challenges, by creating human readable, parameterized,



portable, reproducible, and shareable geodynamic model setups. Critically, the GWB comes with its own programs to visualize the constructed model through applications like Paraview. Creating the models requires no programming knowledge. Therefore, the GWB can be easily used to visualize tectonic and geodynamic settings for publications, teaching, and public outreach.



**Figure 1:** A workflow diagram for how a world builder file can be used to create and visualize a geodynamic model.

The GWB has been used in several published studies to model global fault patterns, plumes, and plate dynamics [\(Gea et al., 2023;](#page-4-0) [Sandiford & Craig, 2023;](#page-4-1) [Saxena et al., 2023;](#page-4-2) and [van](#page-4-3) [der Wiel et al., 2024](#page-4-3)). Other tools to solve this problem have emerged at around the same time as the first GWB release [\(Fraters et al., 2019\)](#page-3-0). Examples include GeomIO [\(Bauville](#page-3-1) [& Baumann, 2019](#page-3-1); [Spang et al., 2022\)](#page-4-4), which uses an approach based on vector graphics; Easy (https://easyinit.readthedocs.io/), which uses a more generic function-based approach; UWGeodynamics [\(Beucher et al., 2019\)](#page-3-2), which is specifically designed for Underworld [\(Moresi](#page-4-5) [et al., 2002](#page-4-5)); and GemPy [\(Schaaf et al., 2021;](#page-4-6) [Varga et al., 2019\)](#page-4-7), which is designed for structural modeling. The GWB was designed to be a more general planetary structure creator, using the methods shown below.

# **Methods**

To address the challenges outlined in the previous section, the Geodynamic World Builder implements specific code and world parameterization principles.

### **GWB Code Principles**

The GWB's software architecture is built around the following principles:

- 1. A single text-based input file.
- 2. Code, language and platform independence:
	- 1. Support for **Linux**, **macOS** and **Windows**;
	- 2. Official interfaces for **C++**, **C**, **FORTRAN** and **Python**.
- 3. Safe parallel execution.
- 4. Readable and extensible software modules.
- 5. Strict version numbering to ensure reproducible results.

These principles are implemented in an object-oriented  $C++$  code with interfaces to other programming languages. All parts a user might want to modify are implemented as plugin systems using interface classes that decouple individual modules and allow the user to easily extend the code with new features. In addition, the GWB includes an extensive automated test suite with benchmarks, integration, and unit tests with high code coverage, memory checking, automatic code indentation, and a spell checker to keep the GWB in a healthy state.



### **GWB World Parameterization Principles**

The GWB's world parameterization principles are built around the idea that a complex model region can be split into individual tectonic features. These tectonic features can be parameterized by defining their location and geometry in terms of points, lines, or areas in a map view. For example, a continental plate can be represented as an area on a map, and the GWB user defines this area. A fault is a linear feature on a map, so the user can define the fault trace as a line at the surface. Users can also provide additional information for a feature, such as a spatially variable thickness or dip angle. The GWB then uses these parameters to create the 3D geometry of the feature, defining its volume. Furthermore, users can attach one or many models to those volumes to define additional properties such as thermal or chemical structure. These can be very simple models, such as a uniform temperature distribution; or follow a more complex distribution, such as a half space cooling model, or a McKenzie model [\(McKenzie, 1970\)](#page-4-8), or a mass conserving slab temperature model [\(Billen & Fraters, 2023\)](#page-3-3).

All these tectonic features are bundled in a single input file in standard JSON format, which is human readable, writeable, and editable. The main idea behind this design of the GWB is that users can easily create, modify, and visualize complex parameterized geodynamic or tectonic settings.

### **Example**

Below we show an example input file for a Cartesian model that contains a single feature, namely a subducting plate.

```
{
  "version": "1.0",
  "coordinate system": {"model":"cartesian"},
  "features":
  \Gamma{
      "model": "subducting plate", "name": "Slab", "dip point": [0,0],
      "coordinates": [[1500e3,1000e3],[1600e3,350e3],[1500e3,0]],
      "segments": [{"length":300e3, "thickness": [100e3], "angle": [0,60]}],
      "temperature models": [{"model": "plate model", "plate velocity": 0.02}],
   }
  ]
}
```
A more complicated example (only requiring 85 lines, and can be found [here\)](https://github.com/GeodynamicWorldBuilder/WorldBuilder/blob/GWB-v1.0.0/doc/sphinx/_static/gwb_input_files/BST_19_spherical_models.wb) featuring a spherical geometry, a spatially variable subducting plate, continental plate, oceanic plate and plume can be seen in Fig 2.





**Figure 2:** A schematic example of what can be built with 85 lines of a GWB input file formatted in the same way as in the example input file shown above. This includes a slab with variable dip and thickness along strike and down dip, subducting under an oceanic plate on the right side of the ridge, as well as a passive continental margin with variable thickness, and a mantle plume beneath the ridge. The temperature profile of the continent is linear, the oceanic plates are defined by a half-space cooling model, the slab temperature is defined by a mass conserving temperature model and the plume adds heat based on a Gaussian around the center.

# **Acknowledgements**

We would like to acknowledge all other contributors to the project, especially Lorraine Hwang, Rebecca Fildes, and John Naliboff for their advice and support for this project throughout the years. We would also like to acknowledge NSF for their funding and support through grants EAR-1620618, OCE-1948902, EAR-0949446, EAR-1550901, EAR-1925677, and EAR-2149126.

M. Fraters also acknowledges the support of the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences at UC Davis and the Department of Geological Sciences at the University of Florida, where much of the research presented was completed while he was a postdoctoral scholar.

# **References**

- <span id="page-3-1"></span>Bauville, A., & Baumann, T. S. (2019). geomIO: An Open-Source MATLAB Toolbox to Create the Initial Configuration of 2-D/3-D Thermo-Mechanical Simulations From 2 D Vector Drawings. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20(3), 1665-1675. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC008057) [//doi.org/10.1029/2018GC008057](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC008057)
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>Beucher, R., Moresi, L., Giordani, J., Mansour, J., Sandiford, D., Farrington, R., Mondy, L., Mallard, C., Rey, P., Duclaux, G., Kaluza, O., Laik, A., & Morón, S. (2019). UWGeodynamics: A teaching and research tool for numerical geodynamic modelling. Journal of Open Source Software, 4(36), 1136. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01136>
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>Billen, M. I., & Fraters, M. (2023). A New Method for Assigning Thermal Structure to 2D and 3D Present-day Geodynamic and Seismological Models of Subduction Zones. AGU23. <https://agu.confex.com/agu/fm23/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/1363473>
- <span id="page-3-0"></span>Fraters, M., Thieulot, C., Berg, A. van den, & Spakman, W. (2019). The Geodynamic World Builder: a solution for complex initial conditions in numerical modeling. Solid Earth, 10(5), 1785–1807. <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-10-1785-2019>



- <span id="page-4-0"></span>Gea, P. J., Negredo, A. M., & Mancilla, F. de L. (2023). The Gibraltar slab dynamics and its influence on past and present-day Alboran domain deformation: Insights from thermomechanical numerical modelling. Frontiers in Earth Science, 11. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2023.995041) [feart.2023.995041](https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2023.995041)
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>McKenzie, D. P. (1970). Temperature and potential temperature beneath island arcs. Tectonophysics, 10(1), 357–366. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(70\)90115-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(70)90115-0)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>Moresi, L., Dufour, F., & Mühlhaus, HB. (2002). Mantle Convection Modeling with Viscoelastic/Brittle Lithosphere: Numerical Methodology and Plate Tectonic Modeling. Pure Appl. Geophys., 159, 2335–2356. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-002-8738-3>
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>Sandiford, D., & Craig, T. J. (2023). Plate bending earthquakes and the strength distribution of the lithosphere. Geophysical Journal International, 235(1), 488–508. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggad230) [10.1093/gji/ggad230](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggad230)
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>Saxena, A., Dannberg, J., Gassmöller, R., Fraters, M., Heister, T., & Styron, R. (2023). High-Resolution Mantle Flow Models Reveal Importance of Plate Boundary Geometry and Slab Pull Forces on Generating Tectonic Plate Motions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 128(8), e2022JB025877. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JB025877>
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>Schaaf, A., Varga, M. de la, Wellmann, F., & Bond, C. E. (2021). Constraining stochastic 3-D structural geological models with topology information using approximate Bayesian computation in GemPy 2.1. Geoscientific Model Development, 14(6), 3899–3913. [https:](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-3899-2021) [//doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-3899-2021](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-3899-2021)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>Spang, A., Baumann, T. S., & Kaus, B. J. P. (2022). Geodynamic Modeling With Uncertain Initial Geometries. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 23(6), e2021GC010265. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GC010265) [//doi.org/10.1029/2021GC010265](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GC010265)
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>van der Wiel, E., van Hinsbergen, D. J. J., Thieulot, C., & Spakman, W. (2024). Linking rates of slab sinking to long-term lower mantle flow and mixing. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 625, 118471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2023.118471>
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>Varga, M. de la, Schaaf, A., & Wellmann, F. (2019). GemPy 1.0: open-source stochastic geological modeling and inversion. Geoscientific Model Development,  $12(1)$ ,  $1-32$ . [https:](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-1-2019) [//doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-1-2019](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-1-2019)